

New Zealand.

## ANALYSIS.

Title.  
Preamble.

1. Short Title.  
2. Inquiry may be made.

---

1892, No. 8.

AN ACT to provide for further Inquiry in respect of the Succession Title.  
to the Interest of Te Tahuri Arama, and Others, in the Orakei  
Block. [31st August, 1892.]

WHEREAS by a Crown grant dated the eighth day of July, one Preamble.  
thousand eight hundred and seventy-three, the parcel of land (herein-  
after called "the said land"), known as Orakei, and situated in the  
Provincial District of Auckland, containing six hundred and eighty-  
nine acres or thereabouts, was granted to Apihai te Kawau, an  
aboriginal native, to hold the same in trust for himself and certain  
other aboriginal natives therein named: And whereas some of the  
beneficiaries under the said grant have died, and orders have from  
time to time been made by the Native Land Court declaring certain  
Natives to be entitled to succeed to the interests of such deceased  
beneficiaries: And whereas by an order made the eighteenth day of  
June, one thousand eight hundred and eighty-five, Rere Arama,  
Te Hira Pateoro, Te Kihirini Reweti, and Paora Reweti have been  
declared to be the persons entitled to succeed to the interest of  
Te Tahuri Arama, deceased, the said Tahuri Arama being one of  
three persons who by a previous order of the said Court had been de-  
clared entitled to succeed to the interest in the said land of Arama  
Karaka te Matuku, deceased, who was named in the said grant as a  
beneficiary under the said trust: And whereas by another order  
made the eighteenth day of June, one thousand eight hundred and  
eighty-five, the said Rere Arama, Te Hira Pateoro, Te Kihirini  
Reweti, and Paora Reweti have been declared to be the persons en-  
titled to succeed to the interest of Totara Arama, deceased, the said  
Totara Arama being another of the said three persons declared entitled  
to succeed to the interest in the said land of the said Arama Karaka  
te Matuku, deceased, as aforesaid: And whereas by another order  
made the twenty-eighth day of August, one thousand eight hundred  
and eighty-nine, Paora Tuhaere, since deceased, was declared to be  
the person entitled to succeed to the interest in the said land of Para-  
mena Nganahi, one of the persons named in the said grant as a bene-

ficiary under the said trust : And whereas it has been alleged that the said Te Hira Pateoro, Te Kihirini Reweti, Paora Reweti, and Paora Tuhaere were not, nor were any of them, entitled, according to Native custom, to succeed to the interests of either of the said deceased beneficiaries hereinbefore mentioned ; and that the said Rere Arama was, at the time of the making of the several orders aforesaid, an infant under the age of twenty-one years and unable to apply for a rehearing in respect thereof ; and that by reason of the said orders she has been deprived of interests in the said land to which she would have been entitled according to Native custom :

And whereas it is expedient that further inquiry should be made in respect of the said allegations :

BE IT THEREFORE ENACTED by the General Assembly of New Zealand in Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows :—

Short Title.

1. The Short Title of this Act is “The Orakei Succession Further Investigation Act, 1892.”

Inquiry may be made.

2. It shall be lawful for the said Rere Arama, at any time within the period of three months after the passing of this Act, to apply to the Chief Judge of the Native Land Court for a rehearing in respect of any of the said orders made the eighteenth day of June, one thousand eight hundred and eighty-five, and the twenty-eighth day of August, one thousand eight hundred and eighty-nine, respectively as aforesaid ; and any such application may be dealt with, and if found expedient a rehearing may be ordered and had in the same manner as if a rehearing had been duly applied for within the period prescribed by the law in force in that behalf at the time when the said orders were respectively made. If any rehearing is ordered under the provisions of this Act, the same shall be had in like manner, and the Court shall have the same jurisdiction in respect thereof, as if such rehearing had been applied for and ordered under “The Native Land Court Act, 1886,” and the several Acts amending the same.