

WELLINGTON INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT.

(44.) WELLINGTON PAINTERS.

Under "The Industrial Conciliation and Arbitration Act, 1894,"
and its Amendments.

Before the Board of Conciliation in the Wellington Industrial District.—In the matter of an industrial dispute between the Wellington Amalgamated Society of Painters and Decorators' Industrial Union of Workers (hereinafter called the "Workers' Union") and Alfred William Cobham Palmer, painter, Kaiwarra, Wellington; John Harris, painter, Hawkestone Street, Wellington; Robert James, painter, Mitchelltown; Joseph Hancock, sen., painter, Wordsworth Street; Smith and Smith, Cuba Street; Halley and Ewing, sawmillers, Courtenay Place; Waddell, McLeod, and Weir, sawmillers, Featherston Street; Andrew Compton, sawmiller, Boulcott Street; Michael Clark, painter, Hill Street; Henry Crump, builder, Brougham Street; Frank Fitzgerald, painter, Adelaide Road; John Boyd, builder, Britomart Street, Berhampore; Robert Quee, painter, Vivian Street; James Battersby, painter, Jackson Street, Petone; George Skinner, painter, Nelson Street, Petone; Frederick Odlin, painter, Hutt Road, Petone; Robert Hickling, painter, Petone; John Thompson, painter, Thorndon Quay; Alexander Pollock, painter, Palm Grove, Wellington; Frederick Watkins, painter, Hanson Street; Luke and Cooper, builders, Rolleston Street; James Strand, builder, Lower Hutt, and of a reference thereof for settlement.

THE Board, having heard statements made by representatives of the Workers' Union, and having heard statements by employers who appeared before the Board, and having duly considered the same, doth hereby recommend,—

1. That clauses 1 to 22 (inclusive) of the award made in the Court of Arbitration of New Zealand in the matter of an industrial dispute between the Wellington Amalgamated Society of Painters and Decorators' Industrial Union of Workers and the Wellington Master Painters' Industrial Union of Employers and others, to which award the seal of the Court was affixed, and on the 10th day of July, 1899, W. B. Edwards, President of the Court, did set his hand, in as far as the said award can be made applicable to the parties to the present dispute, shall, with the two clauses following this clause, be the Board's recommendations on the matters in the dispute.

2. An industrial agreement is to be drawn up embodying these clauses, and to be left at the office of the Clerk of Awards for signature on or before the 5th of July, 1900. If this be not done, or,

having been done, the agreement is not signed by the parties by 12 o'clock noon of the 11th July, 1900, the Chairman shall file a report that the Board has been unable to bring about a settlement.

3. That this agreement shall be binding upon all parties until the 14th day of July, 1901.

JOHN CREWES, Chairman.

Wellington, 3rd July, 1900.

(45.) WELLINGTON PAINTERS.

Wellington Industrial District.—Before the Arbitration Court, 16th July, 1900.

No. 13.—*In re* Painters and Decorators.—Application for the enforcement of an award as against Alfred Parker (employer).

Mr. Hindmarsh (counsel) appears on behalf of the Painters and Decorators' Union of Employees.

Alfred Parker is represented by his solicitor, Mr. Alexander Gray.

At the conclusion of the evidence the Court considered that a breach of the award had been established, but considered the same frivolous and trivial, and also intimated that the same should not have been brought before the Court, and that a penalty would not be inflicted.

No. 13.—*In re* Painters and Decorators.—Application for the enforcement of an award as against Richard Tingey (employer).

Mr. Hindmarsh (counsel) represents the employee's union.

Mr. Tingey is represented by his counsel, Mr. Thomas Young.

Award of Court: Held award broken, but not wilfully broken.

Mr. Tingey is ordered to pay costs to the union (£2 2s.), costs of Court, and witnesses' expenses.

No. 13.—*In re* Painters and Decorators' Dispute.—Enforcement of award as against John Charles Standidge (employer).

Mr. Hindmarsh counsel for union.

Mr. Hadfield for Standidge.

Mr. Hindmarsh asked the Court to amend the proceedings, as the person summoned is Robert Standridge, of Molesworth Street, while the person in question is John Charles Standidge, of Grant Road. Mr. Hindmarsh called evidence to prove that John Charles Standidge had received through the Post Office a registered letter from the Clerk of Awards addressed to Robert Standridge, and that the said letter contained the original notice with particulars notifying him that he had been added as a party to the original dispute.

The Court, after hearing evidence on both sides, dismissed the application for enforcement, without costs.