(46.) SEAMEN.

Wellington Industrial District.—Before the Arbitration Court, 16th July, 1900.

No. 18.—In re Seamen's Dispute.—An application for the enforcement as against the Union Steamship Company (Limited) of an award herein.

On the case being called both parties intimated that they had agreed upon the facts, and they desired that the matter should be dealt with not in the shape of an investigation as to whether a breach had taken place, because this could hardly be called such. The matter in dispute was practically a question of the interpretation of two clauses—viz., clause 18, as to sea watches in the stokehold, &c.; clause 33, as to paying overtime when vessels arrive in port on a Sunday and sail again the same day.

The Court considered these clauses and gave the parties its opinion, but the President intimated that their ruling was not to be taken as an order of Court, and the matter must be treated as if it

had not been before the Court.

(47.) FURNITURE TRADE.

Wellington Industrial District.—Before the Arbitration Court, 16th July, 1900.

No. 16.—In re Furniture Trade Dispute.—Application for the enforcement of an award as against Edward Colley (employer).

Mr. Thomas Young appears as counsel for the employees' union. The Court held a breach of the award had been established, and ordered Colley to pay a penalty of £2, together with £4 6s. costs.

No. 16.—In re Furniture Trade Dispute.—Application for the enforcement of an award as against Joseph Loney Kimbell.

Mr. Young appears as counsel for the employees' union.

Mr. Wilford, counsel for Kimbell, submits to the Court that he had advised his client that he had no answer, and that a breach of the award must be considered to have taken place.

The Court, before finally deciding, hears the facts from Mr.

Young.

Kimbell ordered to pay a penalty of £2, with counsel's fee and Court and witnesses' expenses £8 8s. 6d.

(48.) WELLINGTON DRIVERS.

Wellington Industrial District.—Before the Arbitration Court, 16th July, 1900.

No. 20.—The Wellington Drivers' Industrial Union of Workers and the Employers.

Mr. Thomas Young, counsel on behalf of certain employers, takes a preliminary objection on the ground that the Drivers'