

(6784.) CANTERBURY (OUTSIDE A RADIUS OF TEN MILES FROM CHRISTCHURCH) PRIVATE-HOTEL AND BOARDINGHOUSE EMPLOYEES.—ORDER AMENDING AWARD.

In the Court of Arbitration of New Zealand, Canterbury Industrial District.—In the matter of the Industrial Conciliation and Arbitration Act, 1908, and its amendments; and in the matter of the War Legislation and Statute Law Amendment Act, 1918; and in the matter of the Canterbury (outside a radius of ten miles from Christchurch) Private-hotel and Boardinghouse Employees' award dated the 13th day of September, 1919, and recorded in Book of Awards, Vol. xx, p. 1058.

UPON reading the application of the union party to the said award filed herein on the 9th day of March, 1921, and upon hearing the duly appointed representatives of the said union and of the employers parties to the said award, this Court, having regard to all the relevant considerations and being of opinion that it is just and equitable to amend the said award, and by virtue and in exercise of the powers conferred by the said Acts and of every other power in that behalf enabling it, doth hereby order that the said award shall be amended in manner following, that is to say—

1. Clause 1 of the said award shall be deleted, and the following provision substituted therefor:—

“ 1. (a.) Forty-eight hours shall constitute a week's work, and not more than ten hours shall be worked on any day without payment of overtime.

“(b.) Except in special circumstances, workers shall not be brought back to work after their day’s work is finished until after an interval of at least ten hours, and workers shall be paid at the rate of time and a half for the time by which such interval is curtailed.”

2. This order shall come into force on the 9th day of May, 1921.
Dated this 19th day of April, 1921.

[L.S.]

F. V. FRAZER, Judge.

MEMORANDUM.

This reduction of hours was strongly opposed by the employers, but the Court has granted a similar application in connection with the North Canterbury and other new awards. The forty-eight-hour week has been in force in Australia and in parts of New Zealand for some time. The Court sees no good reason for refusing it in South Canterbury.

An application by Mr. J. McDonald, of “The Lodge,” Hanmer Springs, to be exempted from the operation of this order was considered by the Court. We have decided that exemption should not be granted, as the position is already provided for, as far as it is possible to do so, by the granting of the right to accumulate holidays until the end of the season.

[L.S.]

F. V. FRAZER, Judge