

(8205.) NORTHERN, TARANAKI, WELLINGTON, NELSON, CANTERBURY, AND OTAGO AND SOUTHLAND INDUSTRIAL DISTRICTS MOTOR AND HORSE DRIVERS.—ADDING PARTIES TO AWARD.

In the Court of Arbitration of New Zealand, Otago and Southland Industrial District.—In the matter of the Industrial Conciliation and Arbitration Act, 1908, and its amendments; and in the matter of the Northern, Taranaki, Wellington, Nelson, Canterbury, and Otago and Southland Industrial Districts Motor and Horse Drivers' award, dated the 5th day of September, 1924, and recorded in Book of Awards, Vol. xxv, p. 858.

Thursday, the 21st day of May, 1925.

UPON reading the application of the Otago Motor-vehicle and Horse Drivers and Stable-attendants' Industrial Union of Workers, party to the Northern, Taranaki, Wellington, Nelson, Canterbury, and Otago and Southland Industrial Districts Motor and Horse Drivers' award, dated the 5th day of September, 1924, and recorded in Book of Awards, Vol. xxv, p. 858, which application was filed herein on the 29th day of April, 1925, and upon hearing the duly appointed representative of the said union and such of the persons, firms, and companies herein-after named as appeared either in person or by their representative duly appointed, this Court doth order as follows, that is to say:—

1. The following are hereby added as parties to the said award as from the day of the date hereof:—

Dunedin Fibrous Plaster Company (Limited), Manor Place,
Dunedin.

Foote and Co., Carriers, 8 Bridgman Street, Kensington,
Dunedin.

White, Thomas, Carrier, Wingatui.

2. The application of the union to add the Dunedin-Wanaka Motor Company (Limited) as a party to the award is refused, and the said company is allowed £8 5s. costs against the union.

[L.S.]

F. V. FRAZER, Judge.

MEMORANDUM.

The Court has added a number of parties. The application to add the Dunedin-Wanaka Motor Company (Limited) should not have been made, as the operations of the company are clearly outside the scope of the award. The company has incurred expenses amounting to £8 5s. in opposing the application, and we have allowed this sum as costs against the union. It must be clearly understood that applications made without due consideration and inquiry may result in the applicant being penalized if expense is caused to the opposite party.

[L.S.]

F. V. FRAZER, Judge.