

TARANAKI INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT.

(10248.) NORTHERN, TARANAKI, WELLINGTON, NELSON, WEST LAND, CANTERBURY, AND OTAGO AND SOUTHLAND MOTOR AND HORSE DRIVERS.—ADDING PARTIES TO AWARD.

In the Court of Arbitration of New Zealand, Taranaki Industrial District.—In the matter of the Industrial Conciliation and Arbitration Act, 1925, and its amendments; and in the matter of the Northern, Taranaki, Wellington, Nelson, Westland, Canterbury, and Otago and Southland Motor and Horse Drivers' award, dated the 16th day of December, 1926, and recorded in Book of Awards, Vol. XXVI, p. 1403.

Friday, the 12th day of February, 1932.

UPON reading the application of the New Plymouth Motor-car and Horse Drivers' Industrial Union of Workers, party to the Northern, Taranaki, Wellington, Nelson, Westland, Canterbury, and Otago and Southland Motor and Horse Drivers' award, dated the 16th day of December, 1926, and recorded in Book of Awards, Vol. XXVI, p. 1403, which application was filed herein on the 17th day of February, 1931, and upon hearing the duly appointed representative of the said union and such of the persons, firms, and companies hereinafter named as appeared either in person or by their representative duly appointed, this Court doth order that the following be and they are hereby added as parties to the said award as from the day of the date hereof:—

Kaupokonui Co-operative Dairy Co., Ltd., Kaupokonui.
 Rahotu Co-operative Dairy Co., Ltd., Rahotu.

[L.S.]

F. V. FRAZER, Judge.

MEMORANDUM.

The inclusion of these companies as parties to the Drivers' award does not affect drivers who are employed solely in carting dairy-produce and factory supplies to and from the factory. The Kaupokonui Company runs a chain of general stores for suppliers and the general public, and the Rahotu Company has a lorry which is used for carting all descriptions of merchandise. In the case of the other companies which the union applied to add as parties it appears that the carting done by them is confined to small parcels, which would not justify their inclusion; but the Court thinks it proper to add that if they should later enlarge the scope of their carrying operations they would be liable to be brought under the provisions of the award.

F. V. FRAZER, Judge.