

**TARANAKI ELECTRICAL WORKERS.—AMENDMENT OF AWARD**

In the Court of Arbitration of New Zealand, Taranaki Industrial District.—In the matter of the Industrial Conciliation and Arbitration Act, 1925, and the Economic Stabilization Emergency Regulations 1942; and in the matter of an application for amendment of the Taranaki Electrical Workers' award, dated the 4th day of October, 1938, and recorded in 38 Book of Awards 2778.

IN pursuance and exercise of the powers vested in it by the Economic Stabilization Emergency Regulations 1942, and upon application made by a party to the Taranaki Electrical Workers' award, dated the 4th day of October, 1938, and recorded in 38 Book of Awards 2778, this Court doth hereby order as follows:—

1. That the said award shall be amended by deleting subclause (a) of clause 2 (Wages), and substituting therefor the following subclause:—

“(a) Journeymen electricians shall be paid a minimum rate of 3s. 0½d. per hour.”

2. That, for the purpose of removing doubts as to the operation of the two general orders of the Court made under the Rates of Wages Emergency Regulations 1940, and dated the 9th August, 1940, and the 31st March, 1942, respectively, it is hereby declared that the amended rates of remuneration provided by this order shall be increased to the extent and in the manner set forth in the said two general orders of the Court.

3. That this order shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st day of April, 1945.

Dated this 10th day of August, 1945.

[L.S.]

A. TYNDALL, Judge.

---

**MEMORANDUM**

The above amendments are being made at the joint request of the authorized representatives of the employers and workers bound by the award. The application of the workers included requests for amendments to certain other rates of remuneration prescribed in the award. Consideration of these is held over until the Court has had the opportunity of hearing the parties, unless in the meantime agreement is reached between them.

Mr. Monteith wishes to add the following comment:—

“ This amendment is made in response to the request of the parties that it be made in regard to the matters they have agreed upon, and they request that the rest of the application be heard, if not settled. I am agreeing to this procedure on the assurance that this Court’s view is that such procedure comes within the provisions of the regulations and will not legally bar the union from either making a new application or proceeding with the rest of its application, and on such later hearing for a further amendment to be made if necessary.”

A. TYNDALL, Judge.

---