National Roads Board-Partially Revoking Declaration of State Highway to be a Limited Access Road

PURSUANT to subsection (5) of section 4 of the Public Works Amendment Act 1963, the National Road Board hereby revokes its resolution of 21 February 1973, pursuant to section 4 of the Public Works Amendment Act 1963, declaring that part of No. 2 State Highway (Pokenowellington via Gisborne), from the north-eastern boundary of Section 24, Pakuratahi District near the summit of Rimutaka Hill, to a point opposite the western boundary of part Lot 1, D.P. 10632, near Te Marua, as more particularly shown on sheets 1 to 4 of plan M.O.W. 35039 and the accompanying schedule held in the office of the Resident Engineer, Ministry of Works and Development, Trentham, and there available for public inspection, to be a limited access road in so far as it affects that part of the said No. 2 State Highway along the total frontage of Lots 30 to 40 inclusive of D.P. 618, C.T.F. 1/680 and for a width of one-half chain of the road reserve shown on sheet 4 of the said plan M.O.W. 35039.

Dated at Wellington this 25th day of March 1974.

Dated at Wellington this 25th day of March 1974.

D. J. CHAPMAN, Secretary.

(P.W. 72/2/9B/5)

National Roads Board-Declaring State Highway to be a Limited Access Road

It is notified that the National Roads Board, by resolution dated 20 March 1974, and pursuant to section 4 of the Public Works Amendment Act 1963, hereby declares that part of No. 1 State Highway (Awanui-Bluff) from its junction with Wireless Road to its intersection with Kaitaia Borough Boundary, as more particularly shown on sheet I of plan M.O.W. 35973 and the accompanying schedule held in the office of the Resident Engineer, Ministry of Works and Development, Whangarei, and there available for public inspection, to be a limited access road.

Dated at Wellington this 25th day of March 1974.

D. J. CHAPMAN, Secretary.

(P.W. 72/1/1/5)

Land in the South Auckland Land District Acquired as State Forest Land

NOTICE is hereby given that the land described in the Schedule hereto has been acquired under the Forests Act 1949, as State forest land.

SCHEDULE

South Auckland Land District—Auckland Conservancy—Waitomo County

Lor 16, D.P. 8072, being Kinohaku East Block Part No. 2, Section 6B2, situated in Block XVI, Kawhia South Survey District: area, 174.6218 hectares, more or less. All certificate of title Volume 277, folio 9.

As shown on plan N82/5 deposited in the Head Office of the New Zealand Forest Service at Wellington

Dated at Wellington this 29th day of March 1974.

M. J. CONWAY, Director-General of Forests. (F.S. 9/1/345, 6/1/167).

Plants Declared Noxious Weeds Under the Noxious Weeds Act 1950 in the Areas of Lower Hutt City (No. 696 Ag. 20649A)

PURSUANT to a delegation from the Minister of Agriculture and Fisheries under the Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries Act 1953, for the purposes of the Noxious Weeds Act 1950, the following Special Order, made by the Lower Hutt City Council on 28 January 1974 is hereby published:

SPECIAL ORDER

"That vide a decision of the Lower Hutt City Council made at its meeting held on 27 August 1973, the following weeds in the Epuni and Horokiwi areas of the City (formerly ridings of the Hutt County) be declared to be noxious within the meaning of the Noxious Weeds Act 1950:

Barberry (Berberis darwinii), Bindweed (Convolvulus arvensis), Blackberry (Rubus fruticosus and Rubus laciniatus), Burdock (Arctium, any species), Californian thistle (Cirsium arvense), Cape tulip (Homeria collina), Common broom (Cytisus scoparius), Fennel (Foeniculum vulgare), Goatsrue (Galega officinalis), Gorse (Ulex, any species), Greater bindweed (Calystegia sepium), Heath (Erica lusitanica and Erica arborea), Hemlock (Canium maculatum), Australian sedge (Carex longebrachiata also known as Carex longifolia),
Milk thistle or variegated thistle (Silybum marianum), Nassella tussock (Nassella trichotoma), Nodding thistle (Carduus nutans), Ragwort (Senecio jacobaea), Ragwort (Senecio jacobaea),
Saffron thistle (Carthamus lanatus),
Star thistle (Centaurea calcitrapa),
St. John's wort (Hypericum perforatum),
Sweet brier (Rosa eglanteria syn. Rosarubiginosoa),
Tauhinu or New Zealand cottonwood (Cassinia tophylla or Cassinia fulvida),
Tytesa (Hypericum andrescamum) Tutsan (Hypericum androsaemum), Water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes), Winged thistle (Carduus tenuistorus and Carduus pycnocephalus), Woolly nightshade (Solanum auriculatum), Caper spurge (Euphorbia lathyris). Dated at Wellington this 26th day of March 1974.

J. YUILL, for Director-General of Agriculture and Fisheries.

No. 788-789

Decision of the Indecent Publications Tribunal

In the matter of the Indecent Publications Act 1963, and in the matter of an application by the Comptroller of Customs for a decision in respect of the comics XYZ Comics by Rand Holmes and Jim Jones, published by Georgia Straight, Vancouver, and White Lunch Comix No. I by R. Crumb, published by Krupp Comic Works, Wisconsin.

Mr Fligg appeared on behalf of the Comptroller of Customs and made submissions. Mr Suggate, solicitor, appeared on behalf of the importer and made submissions.

DECISION OF THE TRIBUNAL

DECISIONS No. 767-786, N.Z. Gazette of 10 January 1974, p. 19 deal with several comics which are similar in format and content to the two comics which are the subject of this decision.

Section 11 (3) of the Indecent Publications Act 1963, which was cited in those decisions, but wrongly printed as section 2 (3), bears repetition:

"When the Tribunal decides that any picture story book likely to be read by children is indecent in the hands of children under a specified age that picture story book shall be deemed to be indecent in the hands of all persons.

The comic White Lunch states on the cover "sale to minors strictly verboten." We agree with this self-imposed classification. Both comics, in the opinion of the Tribunal, are likely to have a damaging and corrupting influence upon children.

Accordingly the Tribunal is required by the Act to classify these two comic books as indecent.

R. S. V. SIMPSON, Chairman.

20 March 1974.

No. 790

Decision of the Indecent Publications Tribunal

In the matter of the Indecent Publications Act 1963, and in the matter of an application by Waverley Publishing Co. Ltd. for a decision in respect of the magazine *QQ Magazine*, Vol. 3, No. 2, April 1971, published by QQ Publishing Co. Ltd., New York.

There was no appearance of the applicant nor of a representative in New Zealand of the publisher. Accordingly no submissions were made.