National Roads Board-Notice Partially Revoking Declaration of State Highway to be a Limited Access Road

REFERRING to State Highway No. 18 (Rangitopuni Bridge to REFERRING to State Highway No. 18 (Rangitopuni Bridge to State Highway No. 1), as more particularly shown on Sheets 1, to 3 of Plan L.A. 10/67/2 and accompanying Schedule, held in the office of the Resident Engineer, Ministry of Works and Development, Auckland (North), and there available for public inspection; it is notified that the National Roads Board, by resolution dated 21 February 1979, and pursuant to subsection (5) of section 4 of the Public Works Amendment Act 1963, hereby revokes its resolution of 7 December 1977\*, made pursuant to the said section 4 insofar as it affects that part of the limited access road for one half of its width on the northern side commencing at the junction point of the the northern side commencing at the junction point of the western boundary of Lot 4, D.P. 56083 (C.T. 8A/1345), with the road reserve and extending eastwards for a distance of 130 metres.

Dated at Wellington this 27th day of February 1979.

F. J. TOURELL, Secretary.

\*New Zealand Gazette, No. 123, 15 December 1977, p. 3269 (72/18/2A/5)

National Roads Board-Notice Partially Revoking Declaration of State Highway to be a Limited Access Road

REFERRING to State Highway No. 57, Levin to Potts Road section, as more particularly shown on Sheet 1 of Plan M.O.W. 36126 and accompanying Schedule, held in the office M.O.W. 36126 and accompanying schedule, held in the office of the Resident Engineer, Ministry of Works and Development, Porirua, and there available for public inspection; it is notified that the National Roads Board, by resolution dated 21 February 1979, and pursuant to subsection (5) of section 4 of the Public Works Amendment Act 1963, hereby revokes its resolution dated 30 May 1974\*, made pursuant to the said section 4 insofar as it affects that part of the limited access road declaration for one half of its width on the western side for a distance of 20 metres either side of the point of junction for a distance of 20 metres either side of the point of junction of the northern boundary of Lot 1, D.P. 28188 (C.T. 8B/457), with the road boundary.

Dated at Wellington this 27th day of February 1979.

F. J. TOURELL, Secretary.

\*New Zealand Gazette, No. 53, 30 May 1974, p. 1087 (72/57/9B/5)

National Roads Board-Notice Varying Declaration of State Highway

Pursuant to section 11 of the National Roads Act 1953, the National Roads Board, by resolution dated 21 February 1979, hereby varies the declaration of State Highway No. 1 in the South Island as published in the New Zealand Gazette, No. 89, of 12 September 1974, p. 1930, by including in that notice those areas of limited access road shown marked "M" on Plan S.O. 18007; "H" on Plan S.O. 18008; and "K" on Plan S.O. 18010.

This notice shall come into force on the date hereof.

Dated at Wellington this 27th day of February 1979.

F. J. TOURELL, Secretary.

(72/1/17/0)

National Roads Board—Alteration of Boundaries of Roads Council Districts

It is notified that, pursuant to the National Roads Act 1953, the National Roads Board has resolved to alter roads council the National Roads Board has resolved to after roads council district boundaries from the date of publication of this notice in the Gazette by deleting from the roads council district, described in the First Schedule hereto, the area comprising Port Chalmers Borough and the former Waikouaiti County now amalgamated with Taieri County and known as Silverpeaks County, and by including the said area within the roads council district described in the Second Schedule hereto. council district described in the Second Schedule hereto.

## FIRST SCHEDULE

No. 16 Roads Council District as constituted by the Main Highways Board on 16 December 1927 (New Zealand Gazette, 1928, Volume 1, p. 224).

## SECOND SCHEDULE

No. 17 Roads Council District as constituted by the Main Highways Board on 16 December 1927 (New Zealand Gazette, 1928, Volume 1, p. 224).

The alteration was made by resolution duly passed at a meeting of the National Roads Board held in Wellington on

15 November 1978.

F. J. TOURELL, Secretary.

(62/21/16, 62/21/17)

Decision No. 16/78

Decision of the Broadcasting Tribunal

In the matter of the Broadcasting Act 1976, and in the matter of a complaint by Astons Limited under section 67 (8).

WARRANT HOLDER: Broadcasting Corporation of New Zealand (Television One).

BEFORE THE BROADCASTING TRIBUNAL

B. H. Slane (Chairman), Lionel R. Sceats (Member), Janet C. Somerville (Member), Rufus G. Wallingford (Co-opted Member), Allan P. Jesson, J.P. (Co-opted Member).

Hearing: 6 November 1978 at Rotorua.

## DECISION

Mr W. G. Aston on behalf of Astons Ltd., referred a complaint to the Tribunal in respect of an item in a programme in the Fair Go series broadcast on Television One on 24 November 1977.

The Programme

The item in the programme arose from a letter written by Mr William Lyle of Lake Okareka who complained about the Mr William Lyle of Lake Okareka who complained about the service he had received from the Rotorua firm, Astons Ltd. In a filmed interview in the programme, Mr Lyle told of having purchased a colour television set in Britain which he brought to New Zealand. He had it converted for use here, and claimed that it was a perfect set except it could not receive TV 2. Mr Lyle said he had called in a Mr Reeder, a local installer of aerials and television serviceman, and was persuaded that some people, known to Mr Reeder, could adjust the set to receive both channels both channels.

The substance of Mr Lyle's complaint was that he had paid \$95 and after four and a half months he had got back his colour set which still received only the Television One signal, had poor sound and no colour. He claimed that Mr Reeder did not say where the set had been sent for this work to be done on it and that, if he had known it was to be sent to Aston's Ltd., he would not have agreed because he did not think they had the men or equipment to handle the set.

He also said emphatically that he had not authorised repairs to the extent to which they had been carried out on the set. He said that neither Mr Reeder nor Mr Aston would visit his home. He still held an aerial which belonged to Mr Reeder

which Mr Reeder had declined to collect. All this was recorded on film.

The programme went to to record that Mr Reeder had said the set was not working particularly well at the time he took it, and the colour was marginal. The programme went on to say, however, that Mr Aston had said that it did not have a

say, however, that Mr Aston had said that it did not have a colour fault when it came in and a whole lot of problems developed during the time it was in the workshop.

The programme reported Mr Aston as admitting he had never seen a set of this type before but saying, "we felt competent to handle it." The programme alleged that although service information had been requested from Wellington it was sought only after the set had already "blown up on Mr Aston's work bench." It had blown up again after the parts had been replaced replaced.

It quoted Mr Aston as saying that he did not consider any of his technicians were to blame for the failures, that fate had dealt Mr Lyle a dirty turn and it was not the result of anything done by the company. The firm had offered to waive the charges if Mr Lyle decided to buy another new colour television

Fair Go ended the programme by pointing out to the parties that Rotorua had a small claims court which could possibly accept the dispute and deal with it quickly, justly and cheaply. The Complaint

On 23 February 1978 solicitors for Astons Ltd. wrote to the Director-General of TV One to advise that they had been instructed to issue proceedings for defamation in respect of Astons Ltd. in the programme. The letter also suggested that there would be justification for a complaint to the Tribunal.