

Classification of Reserve

PURSUANT to the Reserves Act 1977, and to a delegation from the Minister of Lands, the Assistant Commissioner of Crown Lands hereby declares the reserve, described in the Schedule hereto, to be classified as a reserve for scenic purposes, subject to the provisions of section 19 (1) (a) of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

SOUTHLAND LAND DISTRICT—WALLACE COUNTY—
POURAKINO SCENIC RESERVE

70.1000 hectares, more or less, being Section 84, Block XIX, Jacobs River Hundred. Certificates of title 85/295 and 192/73, balance *New Zealand Gazette*, 1918, page 2070. S.O. Plan 10032.

Dated at Invercargill this 14th day of July 1981.

R. E. WYNN,

Assistant Commissioner of Crown Lands.

(L. and S. H.O. Res. 13/3/30; D.O. 13/6)

Classification of Reserve

PURSUANT to the Reserves Act 1977, and to a delegation from the Minister of Lands, the Assistant Commissioner of Crown Lands hereby declares the reserve, described in the Schedule hereto, to be classified as a reserve for scientific purposes, subject to the provisions of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

SOUTHLAND LAND DISTRICT—WALLACE COUNTY

13.104 hectares, more or less, being Section 37, Block I, Lillburn Survey District. S.O. Plan 9929.

Dated at Invercargill this 15th day of July 1981.

R. E. WYNN,

Assistant Commissioner of Crown Lands.

(L. and S. H.O. Res. 13/7/3; D.O. 8/5/97)

Classification of Reserve

PURSUANT to the Reserves Act 1977, and to a delegation from the Minister of Lands, the Assistant Commissioner of Crown Lands hereby declares the reserve, described in the Schedule hereto, to be classified as a reserve for recreation purposes, subject to the provisions of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

SOUTHLAND LAND DISTRICT—SOUTHLAND COUNTY—WAITANE
GLENCOE RECREATION RESERVE

1.2141 hectares, more or less, being Lot 1, D.P. 2370, being part Section 16, Block I, Lindhurst Hundred. All *New Zealand Gazette* 1951, page 1763.

Dated at Invercargill this 28th day of July 1981.

R. E. WYNN,

Assistant Commissioner of Crown Lands.

(L. and S. H.O. Res. 13/2/83; D.O. 8/3/46)

Decision No. 1002

Reference No. Ind. 10/81

Before the Indecent Publications Tribunal

IN the matter of the Indecent Publications Act 1963, and in the matter of an application by the Comptroller of Customs for a decision in respect of the following publication:

Oui Volume 9, Nos. 11 and 12, Volume 10 Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4, published by Playboy Publications Inc., U.S.A.

Chairman: Judge W. M. Willis.

Members: Mesdames H. B. Dick, L. P. Nikera, Messrs J. V. B. McLinden, I. W. Malcolm.

Hearing: Wellington, 21 July 1981.

Decision: 30 July 1981.

Appearances: Mr P. E. Leloir for Comptroller of Customs, Mr R. A. Heron for importers, Gordon and Gotch.

DECISION

THESE 6 Volumes were submitted to the Tribunal for classification pursuant to the provisions of section 14 (1). In past years publications of this same magazine have been submitted to the Tribunal and have been classified as indecent.

Because of an apparent change in style the importers have requested this reference. Mr Leloir made the submission that the standard of the magazine had changed in the last few years and is generally more restrained in its pictures and text. It is true that the sexual material accounts for half to two thirds of the magazine although it is lightweight when compared with articles featured in such magazines such as *Penthouse*. He mentioned that there was little emphasis on female genitalia in the photographs in the issues before the Tribunal.

For the importers, Mr Heron said that there had been a deliberate change in editorial policy which resulted in the publication being more restrained than in the past. Some of the "aggressive and explicit" sexual material previously prominent has disappeared. The comparison with earlier copies of the magazine plainly shows the difference in publication. In the photographs there is less emphasis on genitalia and the articles are also more restrained.

The Tribunal agrees that the current publications are different from those of the past and sees no need for a classification of indecency to be made. It does, however, feel that there ought to be some restriction placed upon the magazine so that it is classified as indecent in the hands of persons under the age of 18. It is not at this stage prepared to make a restriction order in terms of section 15 (a).

District Court Judge W. M. WILLIS, Chairman.

Decision No. 1001

Reference No. Ind. 6/81

Before the Indecent Publications Tribunal

IN the matter of the Indecent Publications Act 1963, and in the matter of an application by the Comptroller of Customs for a decision in respect of the following publication:

Deviation by D. Gunther Wilde, published by MacFadden Book, New York.

Judge W. M. Willis (Chairman), Mesdames H. B. Dick, L. P. Nikera, Messrs J. V. B. McLinden, I. W. Malcolm.

Hearing: 5 February 1981.

Decision: 24 July 1981.

Appearances: Mr P. E. F. M. Leloir for Comptroller of Customs. No submissions by importer, Waverley Publishing Co. Ltd.

DECISION

THIS publication was imported commercially and seized at Auckland by the Customs Department in August 1980. As the importer has disputed forfeiture the Customs Department has referred the publication to the Tribunal for classification, prior to the commencement of condemnation proceedings pursuant to the Customs Act 1966, with the recommendation that the book should be considered to be injurious to the public good and declared indecent.

Deviation is a paperback publication, 159 pages in length, published in 1966. In his submission, Mr P. E. F. M. Leloir for the Comptroller of Customs, stated that although the book "is reputed to be a study of abnormal love and mainly consists of so-called case histories . . . there is no proper summary of cause and effects, nor does the compiler appear to have the qualifications to make this publication an authoritative work of medical or scientific importance. The recitation of many abnormal practices is considered to be injurious to the public good and renders this book indecent."

It was noted by the Tribunal that the publication is quite old, having been published some 15 years ago. The subject matter included is listed under a series of headings, each clearly with a specific and abnormal sexual practice, and it would be fair to comment that all of the terms used would be readily found in an encyclopedia. The problem facing the Tribunal is whether the compilation of these deviant terms together in a publication creates a situation which is injurious to the public good. The book has a ring of truth about it, but it is also noted by the Tribunal that other and more authoritative publications do indeed exist in this field.

Sexual deviations of one sort or another are well known to psychiatrists. This book deals with the sexual deviations in what might be called laymen's language, illustrated by what are said to be clinical studies. In the Tribunal's view it is not a book which would have a great appeal and as already mentioned the studies are available in other texts. The Tribunal classifies this book as not indecent.

District Court Judge WILLIS, Chairman.