Objection No. 162 D. H. Mason of Te Kuiti for the N.Z. Social Credit Political League.

Similar to Objection No. 13.

Objection No. 163 Town Clerk, Tokoroa Borough Council.

Council strongly suggests the inclusion of Tokoroa in a Tongariro electorate does not satisfy the requirements of Section 16 of the Electoral Act 1956. The proposed district has no common bond at district or area level. As an alternative Taumarunui should be returned to King Country and Tokoroa be associated with an electorate in the South Waikato area. If minimal changes are considered, Kinlock and the smaller communities around Lake Taupo should be included in Waikaremoana and the hydro towns of Mangakino, Atiamuri, and Whakamaru be included with Tokoroa in Tongariro.

EAST CAPE

Objection No. 47 Chairman, Waikohu County Council.

As the interests of the county lie in Gisborne an objection is lodged as the county has very little if any community of interest in the East Cape-Whakatane area. To accommodate this adjustment an area of Cook County either north up the East Coast or south towards Wairoa could be transferred.

Objection No. 64 14 Residents of Ngatapa Ward, Waikohu County.

Object on the basis of having more community of interest with Gisborne City than Whakatane. They would prefer to be included in Gisborne Electorate.

Objection No. 72 Chairman, Galatea Branch, N.Z. Federated Farmers.

Objects to the electoral boundary separating Galatea from Murupara. Consideration should be given to the inclusion of both areas in Tarawera Electorate, as less than 1100 votes are involved.

Objection No. 190 J. Brosnahan of Ohope.

Advises an apparent discrepancy on the map showing the boundary near Whakatane.

Objection No. 204 Register of Electors, East Cape.

Similar to Objection No. 190.

WAIKAREMOANA

Objection No. 71 Justus and Wilson J. E.

Objecting that the criteria required to form new boundaries has not been observed.

Objection No. 112 County Clerk, Hawke's Bay County Council.

- (i) Objects on the basis of no centre of common interest, magnitude of distance and problems with representation if member is based say in Taupo.
- (ii) Peripheral areas around Hastings City should be included within the electorate.
- Objection No. 114 R. M. Read, Ward member of Okawa, Hawkes Bay County and 329 petitioners.

Expresses strong objection to removal from Hawkes Bay on grounds of communication, access to elected member and topography.

Objection No. 115 L. and P. Tew of Nuhaka.

Similar to Objection No. 114 in that Wairoa has little affinity with Taupo.

Objection No. 118 Town Clerk, Borough of Murupara.

There is a loss of community interest in separating Murupara from Galatea. Difficulty of communication and topographical features will prevent ready contract with Wairoa.

Objection No. 151 J. Woolf of Wairoa.

Similar to Objection No. 112.

Objection No. 165 Secretary, Murupara Businessmens Association.

Similar to Objection No. 112. Views with dismay an electoral boundary that separates Murupara from Galatea.

Objection No. 168 General Manager, Taupo County Council.

Objection is raised on the grounds that little regard was given to the criteria to be observed in forming new electoral boundaries. Kinloch and Wairakei are serviced from Taupo and should be included in Waikaremoana.

Objection No. 174 General Manager, Borough of Taupo.

A joint submission signed by the chairman of Hawkes Bay, Taupo and Wairoa County Councils and the Mayor of Murupara, Taupo and Wairoa Borough Councils, objecting to the electoral districts within their territorial districts on the lack of community interest as required by section 16 of the Electroal Act. The main objection would be met by—

(i) The removal of Tokoroa and the inclusion of Taupo in Tongariro. (ii) The inclusion of Murupara in East Cape. (iii) The inclusion of Wairoa in Gisborne.

In terms of Section 16 (f) regard could be taken of the existing boundary of Gisborne.

Objection No. 191 D. Lund of RD2 Napier and 27 petitioners.

Similar to Objection No. 112. As communication lines and community interests reside with Hawkes Bay region strong objection is made and a right is demanded to stay in Hawkes Bay.

HASTINGS

Objection No. 12 Town Clerk, Hastings City.

As a balance of population is now available between Flaxmere and Havelock North the interests of the City would best be served by an electorate more representative of its community of interest. The exchange of Havelock North for Flaxmere including those residential enclaves that are at present within the city but not the electorate would have no adverse effect on Hawkes Bay Electorate.

HAWKES BAY

Objection No. 23 A. J. Harris of Flaxmere.

Objects to the present system to determine boundaries of electoral districts as prescribed by statute.

Objection No. 24 Chairman, Tamatea Community Council.

Objects to the division of Tamatea into two electorates and seeks the retention of the existing boundary of Napier.

Objection No. 76 P. Trow of Napier and 73 petitioners. Similar to Objection No. 24.

Objection No. 166 M. H. Hansen of Napier.

Similar to Objection No. 24. Objects to the proposal to place Tamatea in two electorates.

KING COUNTY

Objection No. 4 Registrar of Electors at Inglewood.

Objects to the inclusion of Inglewood and Waitara in King Country, as both towns are virtually suburbs of New Plymouth. The adjustment disregards the community of interest factor and facilities of communication. Suggests Stratford and its country associations should be used to provide a balance of population.

Objection No. 6 County Clerk, Inglewood County Council.

Objects to the inclusion of the major part of the county now being included in King Country. There would be no community of interest, the seat of the electorate would be less accessable, and problems peculiar to the area would have little sympathy with the King Country area.

Objection No. 7 Town Clerk, Inglewood Borough Council.

Objects to the inclusion of Inglewood in King Country on the basis of community of interest, and facilities of communication in that topographical features dictates the limitation of communication between King Country and Taranaki. It is essential for Council to retain a close contact with its parliamentary representative due to the close proximity of the energy development projects. The Council requests that consideration be given to the retention of Inglewood in Taranaki.

Objection No. 31 Taranaki Branch, N.Z. Social Credit Political League.

Objects to the lack of a suitable link between Inglewood, Waitara, and Te Kuiti to provide a community of interest between these areas. A more suitable boundary is the provincial line.

Objection No. 137 Chairman, Stratford County Council.

Objects to the division of the county by King Country and Taranaki electorates. Suggests as an alternative proposal, Clifton, Stratford, Inglewood and part of Taranaki form one electorate, the balance of Taranaki, Egmont, Waimate West, Eltham, Hawera and Patea would form the second electorate and Wanganui and Waitotara would be linked with Taumarunui to form the King Country electorate.

Objection No. 140 Mayor, Inglewood Borough Council and 417 petitioners.

Objects to the Borough and district being transferred from Taranaki, based on public opinion, Community of Interest, Facilities of Communications, and Retention of Provincial and Regional Affiliations.

Objection No. 141 King Country Regional Development Council; President, Southern King Country Branch of Federated Farmers; Chairman, Taumarurui, Retailers Association.

Object to the inclusion of Taumarunui in a Tongariro electorate. The town is inter-dependent with the country and would be isolated geographically by the Hauhaungaroa Range, suggest the boundary be adjusted through the inclusion of Waimarino County in Tongariro.