

boundaries be adjusted to include Thames within the proposed Coromandel electorate which surrounds it.

Objection No. 66 R.J. and J. Souch of Coromandel Similar to objection No. 22-65.

Objection No. 76 Margaret Lewson

Similar to objection No. 22-65

Objection No. 122 Paeroa Borough Council

Notes the concern of the many in Thames to the town being included in Maramarua. Objects if the commission in attempting to redress the problem of Thames does it at the expense of Paeroa and its surrounding district.

Objection No. 139 D.J. Prosser

Similar to objections No. 22-65

Objection No. 146 Waihi Branch of Kaimai Electorate of the NZ National Party

Objects to being transferred to the Coromandel area on the grounds of geographic climate and communication disruption to the personnel and workings of the electoral party system.

Objection No. 147 Chairman, Kaimai Electorate NZ National Party

Supporting objection No. 146 and suggests that the dissecting of the present Kaimai electorate is in opposition to the statutory requirements to maintain communities of interest. Because of geographic, business and social activity Waihi, Kati Kati and Omokoroa centre their activities towards the Bay of Plenty.

Objection No. 166 T. Howard of Waitakaruru

Objects to the exclusion of Waitakaruru and Thames from the Coromandel electorate.

State Highway 25 is the main artery of the Coromandel being the main route for traffic from Auckland, and the commercial link from "the Coromandel" to Auckland. There is a community of interest to incorporate SH 25 from Waitakaruru into the Coromandel electorate. Otherwise objection is similar to objections nos 22-65.

Objection No. 196 J.A., D.E. Green of Thames, and 62 others

Similar to objections No. 22-65

We wish to record our strong objection to Thames being excluded from the proposed Coromandel Electorate.

Objection No. 196, 253-282, 470-486, 535-543, 1752-1757. Printed form-63 signatories and wording as follows.

Thames has strong community of interest with the balance of Thames-Coromandel District. Thames is the undoubted centre for commerce, business and health for the Coromandel Peninsula. It is the most centrally sited major town.

Thames is also the administrative centre for local authorities serving the Coromandel Peninsula and is the major employment centre for many of the outlying smaller communities on the Coromandel Peninsula.

Thames is also the major centre for shopping and commerce for tourist on transit to the holiday/tourist resorts of the Coromandel.

Thames is a coastal community and has close links with the fishing industries of other coastal communities on the Coromandel.

There are excellent road links from Thames to the north of the Coromandel Peninsula via Coromandel; and to the east via the Kopu-Hikuai road. The inclusion of Thames in the Maramarua electorate creates a barrier to communication between the Thames Coast/Coromandel/ Colville regions and the remainder of the electorate.

We submit that the Representation Commission has failed to properly take account of the requirements of Section 16(1)(f) of the Electoral Act 1956.

We submit that the Thames Coromandel region should be represented as one unit in Parliament.

We therefore request that the provisional boundaries be altered to include the Thames Urban Area within the proposed Coromandel Electorate.

Objection No. 229 NZ National Party Hauraki Electorate

Request to have Thames retained in the Hauraki or Coromandel Electorate with any adjustment made to the southern section but not by moving Paeroa to Maramarua in exchange for Thames. Request that the Mangaiti Riding of the Ohinemuri County Council be included in Coromandel. An adjustment of the boundary of the Thames urban community by having the boundary with Maramarua continue north on the Waihou River to the

mouth of the Kauaeranga River. Then proceed up to the Kauaeranga River and turn north at the limit of the Thames residential area at the point immediately north of Tararu.

Objection No. 230 See Minutes of a Public Meeting in Thames 17/11/86.

Objection No. 469 NZ Labour Party

Similar to objection nos 22-65.

Objection No. 487 Thames-Coromandel District Council

Similar to objection nos 22-65.

Objection No. 488 Thames-Coromandel District Council

Similar to objection Nos 22-65.

Objection No. 489 NZ Democratic Party (Inc)

Similar to objection Nos 22-65.

Objection No. 1751 J.V. Devcich of Thames

Similar to objection No. 22-65.

Objection No. 1787 Petition from Kaimai 31 signatories.

We the undersigned seek reconsideration of the provisional boundaries of the Kaimai Electorate to ensure our community of interest is correctly placed. The changes sought relates to Mesh Blocks 11891000 and 11893100 which are in the Whakamarama District, east of Whakamarama Road, including all those persons resident in the west and south of Youngston Road, Sinclair Rd, Laurel Drive, Ross Rd up to Sinclair Rd, Coodall Rd, Leyland Rd, Odey Rd and Te Tui track and the top end of Kumi Kumi Rd on the other side of meshblock 11893100.

Whakamarama is a close knit rural community, with its own well appointed community hall centre. It is closely identified with the Kaimai Mamakau Forest Park. The two mesh blocks, in the judgement of the community leaders, if moved into the Kaimai Electorate would enable us to maintain our district community of interest.

WAIKATO

Objection No. 531 Ohinemuri County Council

Object to the transfer of the Mangaiti Riding to Waikato. The residents of this riding recently petitioned to be part of the new Paeroa-Ohinemuri. They also desire to be part of the Coromandel electorate.

RAGLAN

Objection No. 176 Raglan County Council

Objects to the exclusion of the Pukemiro Riding and that portion of the Waingaro Riding of the Raglan County situated between the Waikato River and the Eastern boundary at the Pukemiro Riding on the grounds of community of interest, previous boundaries, communications and the commissions objectives.

HAMILTON WEST

Objection No. 226 Trevor Mallard, MP for Hamilton West

In considering boundary changes the commission has given undue weight to existing boundaries and has not given proper weight to community of interest, physical boundaries and local authorities boundaries.

MATAMATA

Objection No. 501 R.C. Feist of Cambridge and 163 others

The voting residents of the Fencourt—Te Miro area object to exclusion from the Waikato electorate on the grounds the old electorate followed the Waikato County boundary. Business and communication is centred on Hamilton. Our area is separated from Matamata region by the Mangakawa range with one connecting road.

TONGARIRO

Objection No. 523 Tokoroa Borough Council

Request to extend the northern boundary to the Tongariro electorate to coincide more closely with the areas of community interest of Tokoroa. The proposed district council should be all in the one electorate.

Objection No. 533 Taupo Borough and Taupo County Council

Objection on the basis of a lack of community of interest that is required to be considered.

Submitted that Parliamentary boundaries should take major consideration of established regional local government boundaries. The proposed boundaries has separated the Borough of Taupo from the satellite communities of Wairakei, Kinloch and Acacia Bay which have a community of interest with Taupo. The main