10

Name and Strength	Form	Name and Address of Manufacturer	Proprietary Name (if any)
0.64 mg; phytomenadione 20 mcg			
Milrinone 1 mg/ml	Solution for injection	Sterling Drug Inc., Kansas, U.S.A.	Corotrope
Morphine sulphate BP 10 mg in 1 ml; 15 mg in 1 ml; 30 mg in 1 ml	Solution for injection	The Boots Company (Australia) Pty Ltd.	
Procaine hydrochloride BP 20 mg in 2 ml and 40 mg in 2 ml	Solution for injection	The Boots Company (Australia) Pty Ltd.	
Iopromide 0.499 g/ml; 0.623 g/ ml; 0.769 g/ml	Solution for injection	Schering AG, West Germany	Ultravist 240, 300 and 370
Dated this 1st day of September 1988.			
DAVID CAYGILL, Minister of Health. go9779			

### **Internal Affairs**

#### **Civil Defence Act 1983**

## Declaration of a State of Civil Defence Emergency for Palmerston North

A state of local civil defence emergency shall come into force at 2212 hours, Sunday 24 July 1988.

Declared by: P. W. RIEGER.

Designation: Mayor, Palmerston North City.

Time and date of declaration: 2212 hours, 24 July 1988.

go9088

# Declaration Terminating State of Local Civil Defence Emergency

Pursuant to section 56 of the Civil Defence Act 1983, I, Paul Warren Rieger, hereby terminate the state of local civil defence emergency declared in respect of the combined districts of Palmerston North City at 2212 hours, 24 July 1988.

The termination of this local civil defence emergency shall take effect from the date and time of making this declaration.

Declared by: P. W. RIEGER.

Designation; Mayor, Palmerston North City.

Time and date of declaration: 0900 hours, 27 July 1988.

go9089

#### Joint Council for Local Authorities Services Act 1977

# Appointment of a Member of the Joint Council for Local Authorities Services

Pursuant to sections 4, 5, and 6 of the Joint Council for Local Authorities Services Act 1977, the Minister of Local Government hereby appoints the following person to be a member of the Joint Council for Local Authority Services:

Clifford Jack Owen of Wairoa.

On the nomination of the Municipal Association of New Zealand, the New Zealand Counties Association Incorporated, the Auckland Regional Authority and any regional councils for a term of office which expires on 30 September 1989.

Signed at Wellington this 27th day of June 1988. MICHAEL BASSETT, Minister of Local Government. go9756

#### Video Recordings Act 1987

#### Decision of the Video Recordings Board of Review

- 1. The video recording, *Penitentiary III*, is the first review to come before the Video Recordings Board of Review (the board) since the Video Recordings Act 1987 (the Act) came into effect on 1 July 1987.
- 2. Pursuant to section 29 (1) (e) of the Act, *Penitentiary III* was classified as 'Indecent' by the Video Recordings Authority (the authority).
- 3. The distributor, Warner Home Video Ltd., has sought a review of the authority's decision pursuant to section 36 of the Act
- 4. The board, in accordance with section 38 of the Act, has reexamined the video. It was not considered necessary to seek consultation with other persons. It would however have invoked its powers under the Act and consulted either the authority or the distributor, had it considered it necessary to do so.
- 5. The video is an implausible story of a boxer, with considerable talent, who is wrongfully imprisoned when he batters to death an opponent through no fault of his own.

Such a theme provides a setting for a considerable number of antisocial acts. The boxing scenes, although portrayed to look like authentic fights, bear no relationship to the sport of boxing: there are no rules and the prolonged excessively violent fight scenes would not be permitted in a real boxing match.

One of the main characters, a dwarf, is depicted in the first half of the video as a deranged animal. He behaves in a sub-human manner; his function being to terrify and torture those inmates who do not comply with the demands of a psychotic prisoner who controls the prison.

Although extreme violence dominates the video other antisocial themes including cruelty, torture, corruption, misuse of power and designation are also featured.

- 6. After viewing the video, the board agrees that:
- (a) The video is technically competent. It was obviously not a cheap video to produce in that the camera work, background music, lighting and production are of an acceptable commercial standard.
- (b) The lengthy scenes of violence are brutal, unnecessary, exploitive and gratuitous. Out of a total 88 minutes running time half the video consisted of unrelieved violent scenes.
  - (c) The unrealism of the majority of scenes are of