

*Players Classic*

This is a special edition of *Players* magazine, a publication similar in format to *Playboy* although produced on a slightly lower quality paper. All of the photographic sequences are of single female models and there are some aspects of some of the presentation of photographs which caused the Tribunal concern. The Tribunal is satisfied that this publication is likely to be injurious to younger persons and accordingly classified it as indecent in the hands of persons under the age of 18 years.

*Learning to Love in Marriage*

This publication is one of many sex guidance instructional publications which come before the Tribunal for classification and decision. In a number of cases the Tribunal has been satisfied that it is in the interests of the community that such publications be available for older readers and in such cases those publications have largely been well and professionally produced and tastefully presented. The Tribunal agrees with the Comptroller of Customs in the comments made on his behalf by Mr Wotherspoon that the use of simulated intercourse and the poor quality of the publication and the use of different couples in the photographs raises considerable doubts as to the serious nature of this publication. The Tribunal has reached the conclusion that there is no honesty of purpose in the presentation of this publication and accordingly being satisfied that it would be injurious to the public good classifies it as unconditionally indecent.

*Tux*

This is a reasonably well produced magazine in the *Penthouse* style although both the media used and the photography do not come up to the quality of that particular magazine. That which earned this particular publication an unconditionally indecent classification is a photographic sequence covering seven pages and portraying scenes of heterosexual intimacy. As indicated the Tribunal classifies this publication as unconditionally indecent.

*Swank*

This magazine contains a very large amount of sexually explicit photographs showing models engaged in sexual activity both of a heterosexual and homosexual nature. The Tribunal classifies this publication as unconditionally indecent.

*X-rated Cinema*

In Decision 18/87 the Tribunal found Volume 9 No. 6 of this publication to be unconditionally indecent and noted in its decision that the magazine was "almost entirely devoted to the prurient aspects of sexual activity". This present publication is in exactly the same format and the Tribunal classifies it as unconditionally indecent as it would clearly be injurious to the public good.

*Park Lane*

Mr Ellis, in his submission on behalf of the United States publishers, drew to the Tribunal's attention the fact that this magazine does not infringe the 'tripartite test'. There are some aspects of the publication which cause concern to members of the Tribunal and in particular the concentration on the presentation of the female genitalia. The Tribunal is satisfied that this magazine would be injurious to younger readers and accordingly classifies it as indecent in the hands of persons under the age of 18 years.

*Amazons, Amazons Special, Gents Superstars, Flings D-Cup Contest, Hanging Breasts, 50 + Plus*

These magazines concentrate on the depiction in photographic form of the larger female breasts. Most of the magazines have minimal text and, with one exception, are all of single female models. There are certain aspects of the publications *Amazons, Amazons Special, Flings D-Cup Contest* and *Hanging Breasts* which the Tribunal is satisfied would be injurious to the younger readers and accordingly classifies each of these publications as indecent in the hands of persons under

the age of 18 years. In the case of the publication *Gents Superstars* there is nothing in the magazine which one could find of literary, artistic or social merit but there was a presentation under the title *3-D Showoffs* depicting three young women naked or partially clad and some of the photographs in that sequence show sexual activity and a degree of intimacy which the Tribunal is satisfied would be injurious to the public good if the publication was allowed to circulate in New Zealand. The Tribunal accordingly classifies *Gents Superstars* as unconditionally indecent.

The publication *50 +*, as indicted, is also one which concentrates on the larger female breasts but that which causes the Tribunal concern is a sequence of a female model in bondage who is also depicted in some of the photographs engaged in what the Tribunal agreed would be potentially harmful activities with her breasts. The Tribunal classifies this particular publication as unconditionally indecent.

*Tip Top, Backside Babes*

These publications are also fetish magazines with *Tip Top* focusing on legs and *Backside Babes*, on bottoms. The Tribunal is satisfied that these publications would be injurious to the younger readers and accordingly classifies each as indecent in the hand of persons under the age of 18 years.

*Wrestling Video Review*

The Tribunal unanimously agrees with Mr Wotherspoon on behalf of the Comptroller of Customs when he submits to the Tribunal that a combination of sex and violence is the hallmark of this publication. The photographs are generally of two nude or partly clad females in positions with strong sexual overtones. The Tribunal finds the alleged wrestling is, in many of its depictions in the way of photographs and certainly in the script, a subterfuge for the presentation of lesbian activity of a kind which the Tribunal has previously found to be unconditionally indecent. The Tribunal finds this particular publication unconditionally indecent and classifies it accordingly.

*Kane Erotica Special, Janus, Roue*

These publications deal with the spanking or caning of young females and that is depicted in both the text and photographs. The *Kane Erotica Special* replaces photographs with explicit drawings but the same style and tenor of the other publications is maintained. We repeat the Tribunal's concern that this style of publication featuring a combination of sex and violence against young females, is clearly injurious to the public good. The Tribunal accordingly classifies each of these publications as unconditionally indecent.

*Victorian Erotica Volume 1, No. 10*

This publication completely lacks any redeeming qualities and includes a significant amount of photographs of violence being administered to young models. The Tribunal classifies this publication as unconditionally indecent.

*Michael's Sweet Revenge, Bondage Artwork, Transvestite in Bondage*

Each of these publications is primarily concerned with female domination and bondage. There is little in the way of literary, artistic or social merit in the publications and the Tribunal is satisfied that each of these publications is injurious to the public good. The Tribunal classifies each as unconditionally indecent.

*Smooth, Centurians Latex Annual, Club Latexa, Volume 2*

These publications all deal with the topic of rubber fetishism. The Tribunal has, in many of its previous decisions, expressed its concern at the format of this type of publication. A great deal of the presentation is in respect of bondage elements and the Tribunal is concerned that such a presentation is injurious to the public good. Each of these publications is accordingly classified as unconditionally indecent.

Dated at Wellington this 8th day of July 1988.