Heavy Hangers, Vol. 1, No. 1; Milk, Vol. 1, No. 7; Milky Mamas Special, No. 1; Oriental Pussy, Vol. 1, No. 3 and Poppin & Milkin, Vol. 2, No. 3.

In respect of all category A publications the Tribunal was unanimous in its findings with the exception of the publications:

Milk, Vol. 1, No. 7; Milky Mamas Special, No. 1 and Poppin & Milkin, Vol. 1, No. 3.

Miss Barrington has some major concerns in respect of those particular publications which mainly consist of photographs of women in scenes of manual lactation. The other members of the Tribunal although finding that presentation most distasteful and disparaging of the state of motherhood, were unable to find that there was sufficient evidence of injury to the public good to justify a classification of unconditionally indecent. The Tribunal members also as a whole, found it difficult to understand who, other than perverted people, would actually have an interest in viewing magazines which are principally composed of women manually lactating in the fashion displayed.

## Category B

The Tribunal classifies the following publications as indecent in the hands of persons under the age of 16 years.

Hanging Breasts, Vol. 1, No. 2; Hanging Breasts, Vol. 6, No. 4; Hanging Breasts, Vol. 7, No. 2; Black Shemale Fantasies, Vol. 1, No. 1; Blonde Shemale Superstuds; Drag Queens, Vol. 4, No. 4; Queens' Night In, Vol. 1, No. 1; Shemales Confess, Vol. 1, No. 1; TS I Love You, Vol. 1, No. 1; TV Debutantes, Vol. 1, No. 1; TV Exhibitionists, Vol. 1, No. 2; TV Queens, Vol. 5, No. 1; TV Queens, Vol. 5, No. 2; Ultra Femmes, Vol. 1, No. 2; Girls Life, No. 2; Girls Life, No. 3; Girls Life, No. 4; Girls Life, No. 5; Highlife Girls, No. 2 and Penthouse Collection, Vol. 5, No. 1.

## Category C

The Tribunal classifies the following publications as unconditionally indecent. The magazines in question cover a great variety of topics and would probably have appeal to a number of different audiences. A significant number of the magazines justify an unconditionally indecent classification because of the totality of their indecent content, others because they contain a significant number of photographs which clearly justify that classification, others because they contain a mixture of various types of material all of which are clearly in the view of the Tribunal injurious to the public good while others are so classified because of perhaps only 1 or 2 sequences or even an individual item such as a comic strip.

Although references are made but infrequently by the Tribunal to the "matters to be taken into consideration by a Tribunal or Court" being the matters referred to under section 11 of the Indecent Publications Act 1963, the provisions of that section are nevertheless constantly before the Tribunal during its consideration of all the material which comes before it. In so far as the Category C magazines are concerned the Tribunal has certainly looked at:

- A. The dominant effect of the book
- B. The literary of artistic merit or other special characteristics of the book.
- C. The classes of persons or age groups to or amongst whom the book is intended or is likely to be published, etc.
- D. The price of the publication.
- F. Whether there is an honest purpose and an honest thread of thought in the publication.

The publications under category C do not measure well when it comes to applying those matters of consideration to them.

We do not propose (and have not in this decision) setting out detail by detail the reasons why these publications are clearly in the Tribunal's findings injurious to the public good but we have endeavoured to classify them in various groups which will indicate the nature of the major concerns of the Tribunal. We should also mention that the Tribunal members are but fully aware that much of the so called "Lesbian pictorial magazines" are in fact designed and produced for male readers but we judge the nature of the material rather than the intended market as our first criteria.

Exotic Beavers, Vol. 1, No. 1; Girls, No. 31; Pussy Fingers, Vol. 1, No. 1 and Shaved Girls Review, No. 3.

These publications are principally magazines of nude or mainly nude female models and an overly explicit display of the open vagina of the model. It is the almost total concentration in the pictorial sequences and single photographs displayed on the genitalia which has resulted in the Tribunal classifying these publications as unconditionally indecent.

Scoop Magazine, No. 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11.

Although these publications appear to be published in a number of countries those which come before the Tribunal for classification on this occasion appear to be published in Denmark and appear to be, in comparison with much of the other material which comes before us, cheaply produced. Most of these magazines contain highly objectionable comic strips of the kind the Tribunal are satisfied are injurious to the public good and a significant amount of bondage, multiple-model scenes and cartoons of an objectionable nature of the kind which the Tribunal has previously found injurious to the public good. The magazines in fact are of a very uneven quality with some escaping an unconditional classification but these particular editions are clearly injurious to the public good and are classified as unconditionally indecent.

Teasers, Vol. 1, No. 2.

A magazine principally of the single female model classified as unconditionally indecent because of a sequence of photographs displaying masturbation.

Wet Dreams, Vol. 1, No. 4.

Classified as unconditionally indecent because of the significant bondage element portrayed and photographs of masturbation.

Let Me See I'll Let You Know, No. 1.

Classified as unconditionally indecent as showing male models in the act of masturbation.

100 Pages of Dick Rambone, Vol. 1, No. 1; Double Fuckers Special 1; Fuckin Hefty, Vol. 2, No. 1; Pretty Girls Erotica, No. 2 and Wild Fuckin Special 1.

Classified as unconditionally indecent for the photographic displays of sexual intercourse.

150 Pix of Anal Penetration, Vol. 1, No. 1.

A magazine which is almost totally devoted to anal intercourse which the Tribunal is satisfied is injurious to the public good and classifies it as unconditionally indecent.

Blonde Anal Babe, Vol. 1, No. 1.

Classified as unconditionally indecent because of the explicit portrayal of oral and anal intercourse.

Girl-Loving Girls, Vol. 5, No. 3; Girl-Loving Girls, Vol. 5, No. 4; Girl on Girl, Vol. 1, No. 4; Juggs, September 1989, Vol. 8, No. 11; Juggs, October 1989, Vol. 8, No. 12; Lesbian Girls, Vol. 6, No. 3; Lesbian Girls, Vol. 6, No. 4; Lesbian Lovers, Vol. 8, No. 1; Lesbian Pussy Poking, Vol. 1, No. 1; Loving Lesbians, Vol. 1, No. 2.

All of these publications are classified as unconditionally indecent because of the explicit photographs of female models engaging in explicit sexual activities.