\$

$$
\text { whence } \mathrm{a}=\begin{array}{r}
100,000 \\
75,000 \\
25,000
\end{array}
$$

The length of each Period is a year therefore $b=1$.
The principal outstanding is $\$ 100,000$ in the first (one year) Period, and $\$ 70,000$ in the subsequent Periods. Hence the Total Finance Charges are allocated as follows:

| Period | Length <br> (years) | Principal <br> outstanding | (bxc) | Expenditure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | b | c | e | $\frac{\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 8,065 |
| 2 | 1 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 5,645 |
| 3 | 1 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 5,645 |
| 4 | 1 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 5.645 |
|  |  | Total d $=$ | 310,000 | $\frac{25,000}{}$ |

The expenditure incurred in each Period would be spread between income years using Determination G1A: Apportionment of Income and Expenditure on a Daily Basis.
(Note that in practice the income in the final year would be determined using the base price adjustment).

## (7) Example $G$ (discounted Government Stock)

A taxpayer buys New Zealand Government Stock on the secondary market. Details are as follows:

| Face value | $\$ 500,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Coupon | 16 per cent p.a. payable half yearly |
| Maturity | 15 August 1994 |
| Settlement | 1 March 1992 |
| Price | $\$ 548,978$ (YTM $=11.6 \% \mathrm{pa})$ |

The taxpayer is not a cash basis holder but is eligible to use the straight line method to account for its financial arrangements, and decides to do so.
The Total Finance Charges are-

## \$

500,000 maturity value
$+200,000$ five coupons of $\$ 40,000$

- 548,978 purchase price
whence $\mathrm{a}=\overline{151,022}$
There is a broken first Period of 167 days, followed by five half year Periods. A time unit of half years is appropriate.
Since the Periods are of unequal length, Method B applies.
The 167 days represents $167 \times 2 / 365=0.9151$ of a half year.
Therefore $\mathrm{b}=0.9151$ in the first Period and $\mathrm{b}=1$ in the remaining periods as there is one time unit of half a year in each Period.
The following table can be constructed:


The income derived in each Period would be spread using

Determination G1A: Apportionment of Income and Expenditure on a Daily Basis.
(Note that in practice the income in the final year would be determined using the base price adjustment.)
(8) Example $H$ (transition to the straight line method)

This is similar to Example A, which is summarised as follows: An amount of \$9,250 (after fees and discount) is borrowed on 12 February 1991. repayable by 10 half yearly interest payments of $\$ 800$ each, plus a final payment of $\$ 10,000$.
The yield to maturity is 18.356 per cent.
Assume the borrower is a New Zealand taxpayer with a 31 March balance date and used the yield to maturity method for the first income year.
Then the expenditure deemed to be incurred in the income year ending 31 March 1991 is calculated as follows:
(a) Amount attributable to period 12 February-11 August 1991:

$$
\$ 9,250 \times 18.356 \% / 2=\$ 849
$$

(b) Amount attributable to income year ending 31 March 1991:
$\$ 849 \times 47$ days $/ 181$ days $=\$ 220$
Assume that in the 1991/1992 income year the taxpayer meets the criteria for the straight line method and decides to use Method A of this determination.
Then the amount of expenditure calculated in accordance with section $64 \mathrm{c}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ (b) is as follows:
(a) From example A , the amount of expenditure that would have been deemed to be incurred under the straight line Method A up to 31 March 1992 is as follows:
(i) period 12 February-11 August 1991: 875
(ii) period 12 August-11 February 1992: 875
(iii) period 12 February-31 March 1992
$875 \times 48$ days $/ 182$ days $=\frac{231}{1.981}$
(b) Therefore, using the formula in section 64 C (2B) (b)
$a=0 \quad$ income derived using the straight line method
$\mathrm{b}=\$ 1,981 \quad$ expenditure incurred using the straight line method
$c=0$
income derived in prior income years
$\mathrm{d}=\$ 220 \quad$ expenditure incurred in prior income years
(c) The amount calculated in accordance with the formula is-

$$
\begin{aligned}
a-b-c+d & =0-1,981-0+220 \\
& =-\$ 1,761
\end{aligned}
$$

and since this is a negative amount, it is deemed to be expenditure incurred by the borrower.
This determination is signed by me on the 10th day of July in the year 1991.
R. D. ADAIR, Deputy Commissioner of Inland Revenue. go7854

## Internal Affairs

## Queen Elizabeth the Second Arts Council of New Zealand Act 1974

## Northbridge and Birkenhead Community Arts <br> Councils-Boundary Change

Pursuant to section 32 (i) of the Queen Elizabeth II Arts Council of New Zealand Act 1974, on the recommendation of

